

On the Two-Dimensional Pointwise Dyadic Calculus*

G. Gát

*Department of Mathematics, Bessenyei College, P.O. Box 166,
Nyíregyháza, H-4400, Hungary
E-mail: gatgy@nyl.bgytf.hu*

Communicated by R. Nessel

Received December 1, 1995; accepted January 22, 1997

In this paper we prove the two-dimensional pointwise dyadic differentiability (provided that the distance of the indices is bounded) of the dyadic integral of integrable two-dimensional functions. We also prove some inequality of type (H, L^1) for the maximal operator $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}^2} |d_n(\mathbf{I}f)|$. © 1998 Academic Press

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$, and $I := [0, 1)$. For any set E let E^2 be the cartesian product $E \times E$. Thus \mathbf{N}^2 is the set of integral lattice points in the first quadrant and I^2 is the unit square. For $(n_1, n_2) = \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^2$ set $\wedge \mathbf{n} := \max(n_1, n_2)$, $\vee \mathbf{n} := \min(n_1, n_2)$. Let $E^1 = E$ and fix $j = 1$ or 2 . Denote the j -dimensional Lebesgue measure of any set $E \subset I^j$ by $|E|$. Denote the $L^p(I^j)$ norm of any function f by $\|f\|_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

Denote the dyadic expansion of $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in I$ by $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j$ and $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j 2^{-j-1}$ (in the case of $x = k/2^m$, $k, m \in \mathbf{N}$, choose the expansion which terminates in zeros). n_i, x_i are the i th coordinates of n, x , respectively. Define the dyadic addition $\dot{+}$ as

$$x \dot{+} y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (x_j + y_j \bmod 2) 2^{-j-1}.$$

The sets $I_n(x) := \{y \in I : y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}$ for $x \in I$, $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in \mathbf{P}$, and $I_0(x) := I$ are the dyadic intervals of I .

* Research supported by the "Alapítvány a Magyar Felsőoktatásért és Kutatásért," the foundation of the Hungarian Credit Bank (MHB), Grant 485/94, by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants F007347, F020334 and by Grant FKFP 0710/1997.

Let $(\omega_n, n \in \mathbf{N})$ represent the one-dimensional Walsh–Paley system [6, 10] $(\omega_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n_k x_k}, n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in I)$. Denote by $D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_k$ the Walsh–Dirichlet kernels. It is well-known that [6, 10]

$$S_n f = f * D_n,$$

where $*$ represents the dyadic convolution, that is,

$$S_n f(y) = \int_I f(x) D_n(y \dot{+} x) dx = f * D_n(y),$$

with $(y \in I, n \in \mathbf{P})$ the n th partial sum of Walsh–Fourier series. Moreover ([9, p. 28]),

$$D_{2^n}(x) := \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$D_n(x) = \omega_n(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k (D_{2^{k+1}}(x) - D_{2^k}(x))$$

$$= \omega_n(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k (-1)^{x_k} D_{2^k}(x), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad x \in I.$$

For each function h defined on I set

$$(d_n h)(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{j-1} (h(t) - h(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}))$$

for $t \in I, n \in \mathbf{P}$. Then h is said to be dyadically differentiable at a point t if $(d_n h)(t)$ converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to some finite number $h^{[1]}(t)$.

Butzer and Wagner [2] showed that every Walsh function is dyadically differentiable with

$$\omega_k^{[1]}(t) = k \omega_k(t)$$

for all $t \in I$ and $k \in \mathbf{P}$.

Let W be the function whose Walsh–Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$\hat{W}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ 1/k & k \in \mathbf{P}. \end{cases}$$

The dyadic integral of an $h \in L^1$ is defined to be

$$\mathbf{I}h = h * W,$$

that is,

$$\mathbf{I}h(t) = \int_I h(t \dot{+} s) W(s) ds$$

for $t \in I$. Since $W \in L^2 \subset L^1$ it is clear that $\mathbf{I}h$ is defined for all $h \in L^1$. Schipp [7] obtained the following fundamental theorem of dyadic calculus: if $h \in L^1$ and $\hat{h}(0) = 0$, then

$$(\mathbf{I}h)^{[1]} = h$$

a.e. on I .

For each f defined on I^2 set

$$(d_{\mathbf{n}}f)(x, y) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{n_2-1} 2^{j_1+j_2-2} (f(x, y) - f(x, y \dot{+} 2^{-j_2-1}) - f(x \dot{+} 2^{-j_1-1}, y) + f(x \dot{+} 2^{-j_1-1}, y \dot{+} 2^{-j_2-1}))$$

for $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$ and $(x, y) \in I^2$. Butzer and Engels [1] defined the pointwise two-dimensional dyadic derivative of f to be the limit as $\min(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$ of $(d_{\mathbf{n}}f)(x, y)$, when this limit exists.

Butzer and Engels [1] defined the two-dimensional dyadic integral of $f \in L^1(I^2)$ by $\mathbf{I}f := f * (W \times W)$, where $*$ denotes the two-dimensional convolution.

Define, for $f \in L^1(I^2)$, the maximal function, the diagonal maximal function, and the hybrid maximal function as

$$f^*(x^1, x^2) := \sup_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2} |f * (D_{2^{n_1}} \times D_{2^{n_2}})(x^1, x^2)|,$$

$$f^\circ(x^1, x^2) := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f * (D_{2^n} \times D_{2^n})(x^1, x^2)|.$$

and

$$f^\#(x^1, x^2) := \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} 2^n \left| \int_{I_n(x^1)} f(t, x^2) dt \right|.$$

The Hardy spaces H , H° , and $H^\#$ are defined as the set of functions f in $L^1(I^2)$ for which the corresponding norms are

$$\|f\|_H := \|f^*\|_1 < \infty, \quad \|f\|_{H^\circ} := \|f^\circ\|_1 < \infty, \quad \|f\|_{H^\#} := \|f^\#\|_1 < \infty.$$

The atomic decomposition is a useful characterization of the Hardy space H° . To demonstrate this let us introduce first the concept of an atom. A bounded measurable function $a : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a H° atom if there exists a

dyadic square $I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2) =: J_1 \times J_2 \subset I^2$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2) \in I^2$) for which

- (i) $\int_{J_1 \times J_2} a = 0$,
- (ii) $\|a\|_\infty \leq 2^{-2\tau}$,
- (iii) $\text{supp } a \subset J_1 \times J_2$.

The basic result of the atomic decomposition is stated as follows (see [4]). A function $f \in L^1(I^2)$ is in H° if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of H° atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k a_k = f \quad \text{a.e. for all } n \in \mathbf{N},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k| < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H^\circ} \sim \inf \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of the form above.

Schipp and Wade [8] (and later Weisz [12]) proved that if $|f| \in H^\#$ (in particular, if $f \in L \log^+ L(I^2)$) and $\hat{f}(n_1, n_2) = 0$ for $n_1 n_2 = 0$, then

$$d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}f) \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } \min\{n_1, n_2\} \rightarrow \infty$$

a.e. on I^2 .

The main aim of this paper is to prove.

THEOREM 1. *If $f \in L^1(I^2)$ and $\hat{f}(n_1, n_2) = 0$ for $n_1 n_2 = 0$, then $d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}f) \rightarrow f$ a.e., as $n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty$ provided that $|n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta$, where β is some fixed parameter.*

After the proof of the main theorem—as an application—we prove for the maximal operator $\sup_{|n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} |d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}f)|$ that

$$\left\| \sup_{|n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} |d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}f)| \right\|_1 \leq c \| |f| \|_{H^\circ} \quad (|f| \in H^\circ)$$

(with the same condition as in Theorem 1 on the Fourier coefficients).

1. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Throughout this paper c will denote a constant which may vary at different occurrences and may depend only on β . Without loss of generality $\beta \in \mathbf{P}$ can be supposed. In order to prove Theorem 1 we need to introduce some more notation. For each $t \in I$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ set [7, 9]

$$F_n^1(t) = 2^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j D_{2^n}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}),$$

$$F_n^2(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}),$$

and

$$F_n^3(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} D_{2^{n+j}}(t).$$

Schipp and Wade in [8] proved that

$$|d_n W| \leq 12 \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (n-l) 2^{l-n} F_l^1 + 8 \sum_{i=1}^3 F_n^i \quad (1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{P}$. Set

$$F_n^4 := 12 \sum_{l=0}^n (n+1-l) 2^{l-n} F_l^1.$$

Then by (1)

$$|d_n W| \leq 12(F_n^2 + F_n^3 + F_n^4) =: 12G_n$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

First we prove the following lemmas:

LEMMA 2. $\|F_n^i\|_1 \leq c$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$).

LEMMA 3. Let $\tau, A \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus N_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^i(t) dt \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-1}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

LEMMA 4. Let $\tau, A \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} |G_n(t)| dt \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|G_A\|_1 \leq c.$$

LEMMA 5. Let $\tau, A \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$\int_{I^2 \setminus I_\tau^2} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \geq A \\ \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^2}} |G_{n_1}(u) G_{n_2}(v)| du dv \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-1}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof is very elementary and therefore it is left to the reader. ■

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $t \in I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}$ (over all in the proof of Lemma 3) and see case $i=3$.

If $A > \tau$, then $D_{2^{n+j}}(t) = 0$ for all $n \geq A, j \in \mathbf{N}$, thus

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^3(t) dt = 0.$$

If $A \leq \tau$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^3(t) &\leq \sup_{n > \tau} F_n^3(t) + \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} F_n^3(t) \\ &= \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} F_n^3(t) \\ &\leq \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} \sum_{j=\tau+1-n}^{\infty} 2^{-j} D_{2^{n+j}}(t) + \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-n} 2^{-j} D_{2^{n+j}}(t) \\ &= \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-n} 2^{-j} 2^{n+j} \\ &\leq c 2^\tau, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^3(t) dt \leq c \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-1}}.$$

That is, the lemma in the case of $i=3$ is proved.

Next, see the case $i = 1$.

If $A > \tau$, then $j \neq \tau$ implies $D_{2^n}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 0$ ($t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1} \notin I_{\tau+1} \supset I_A \supset I_n$). Thus,

$$F_n^1(t) = 2^{-n+\tau} D_{2^n}(t \dot{+} 2^{-\tau-1}).$$

For a fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ($n \geq A > \tau$)

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} 2^{-n+\tau} D_{2^n}(t \dot{+} 2^{-\tau-1}) = 2^{-n+\tau} \int_{I_{\tau+1}} D_{2^n}(t) = 2^{-n+\tau}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^1(t) dt &\leq \sum_{j=A}^{\infty} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} F_j^1(t) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{j=A}^{\infty} 2^{-j+\tau} \leq c 2^{\tau-A} \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A \leq \tau$, then by the help of the case $A > \tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^1(t) dt &\leq \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq \tau+1} F_n^1(t) dt + \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} F_n^1(t) dt \\ &\leq c + \frac{1}{2^{\tau+1}} \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j 2^{-n} 2^n \leq c \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the Lemma 3 is proved also in the case $i = 1$.

The rest is to discuss case $i = 2$.

If $A > \tau$, then $j \neq \tau$ implies $D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 0$ ($t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1} \notin I_{\tau+1} \supset I_A \supset I_l$). Consequently,

$$F_n^2(t) = 2^\tau \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-\tau-1}).$$

For a fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ($n \geq A > \tau$)

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} F_n^2(t) dt = 2^\tau \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \int_{I_{\tau+1}} D_{2^l}(t) dt \leq c 2^{\tau-n}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^2(t) dt \leq \sum_{n=A}^{\infty} c 2^{\tau-n} \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}.$$

At last let $A \leq \tau$. By the help of case $A > \tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^2(t) dt &\leq \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq \tau+1} F_n^2(t) dt + \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{\tau \geq n \geq A} F_n^2(t) dt \\ &\leq c + \sum_{n=A}^{\tau} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} F_n^2(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 we have that

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^2(t) dt \leq c + c(\tau - A + 1) \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}$$

also in the case $\tau \geq A$. The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of Lemma 4. By (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} |G_n(t)| dt &\leq c \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{l=A}^{n-1} (n-l) 2^{l-n} F_l^1 \\ &\quad + c \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} (n-l) 2^{l-n} F_l^1 \\ &\quad + c \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^i \\ &=: B_1 + B_2 + B_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\leq \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \left(\sup_{n \geq A} \sum_{l=A}^{n-1} (n-l) 2^{l-n} \right) \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^1 \\ &\leq c \int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} F_n^1 \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_3 \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}.$$

Since

$$\sup_{n \geq A} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} (n-l) 2^{l-n} F_l^1 \leq c \sup_{n \geq A} \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} 2^{(3/4)(l-n)} F_l^1 \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} 2^{(3/4)(l-A)} F_l^1$$

and by Lemma 3

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} F_l^1 \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-l}},$$

then we have

$$B_2 \leq c \sum_{l=0}^{A-1} 2^{(3/4)(l-A)} \sqrt{2^{\tau-l}} \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}.$$

That is,

$$\int_{I_\tau \setminus I_{\tau+1}} \sup_{n \geq A} |G_n(t)| dt \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}.$$

On the other hand, by (1) and Lemma 2 the inequality $\|G_n\|_1 \leq c$ follows. The proof of Lemma 4 is complete. ■

Proof of Lemma 5.

$$I^2 \setminus I_\tau^2 \subset ((I \setminus I_\tau) \times I) \cup (I \times (I \setminus I_\tau)) =: B_1 \cup B_2.$$

By Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \wedge \mathbf{n} \geq A \\ \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^2}} |G_{n_1}(u) G_{n_2}(v)| du dv \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{n_1=A}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=n_1-\beta}^{n_1+\beta} \int_{I_i \setminus I_{i+1}} |G_{n_1}(u)| du \|G_{n_2}\|_1 \\ & \leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{n_1=A}^{\infty} \sqrt{2^{i-n_1}} \leq c \sqrt{2^{\tau-A}}. \end{aligned}$$

The integral on the set B_2 is similar. The proof of Lemma 5 is complete. ■

Set for $n < \tau$ ($n, \tau \in \mathbf{N}$)

$$F_n^{2, \tau}(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1})$$

and

$$F_{n, \tau}^2(t) := \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j \sum_{l=n}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}),$$

that is, $F_n^2 = F_n^{2, \tau} + F_{n, \tau}^2$.

We prove several lemmas in order to discuss the integral $\int_{I^2 \setminus I_\tau^2} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} |G_{n_1} \times G_{n_2}|$.

LEMMA 6. $\int_{I \setminus I_\tau} \sup_{n < \tau} F_n^{2, \tau}(t) dt \leq c$.

Proof. Let $t \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $t \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. Then

$$F_n^{2, \tau}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t \dot{+} 2^{-d-1}), & n \geq d \\ 0 & n < d. \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_d \setminus I_{d+1}} \sup_{n < \tau} F_n^{2, \tau}(t) dt &= \int_{I_d} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(t) dt \\ &\leq 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \leq c \frac{2^d}{2^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{I \setminus I_\tau} \sup_{n < \tau} F_n^{2, \tau}(t) dt \leq \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \leq c.$$

The proof of Lemma 6 is complete. ■

COROLLARY 7. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^{2, \tau}(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \leq c$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$).

(Of course this corollary follows from Lemma 6 without the condition $|n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta$, but it is useless to omit it since it is supposed everywhere in this paper.) In the proofs of the forthcoming Lemmas 8–10, 12, and 13 we generally write $\sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}$, however, there may be some more restrictions on the indices n_1, n_2 . They are sometimes indicated separately.

LEMMA 8. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^{2, \tau}(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \leq c$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$).

Proof. Let $v \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $v \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. Then

$$F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) = \begin{cases} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(v \dot{+} 2^{-d-1}), & n_2 \geq d \text{ (then } n_1 \geq d - \beta) \\ 0, & n_2 < d. \end{cases} \quad (8.0)$$

Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. If $n_1 < a$, then $F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} 2^j \sum_{l=n_1}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} 2^j 2^{-n_1} D_{2^{n_1}}(u \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = D_{2^{n_1}}(u \dot{+} 2^{-n_1-1}) = D_{2^{n_1}}(u) = 2^{n_1}$. That is

$$F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) = \begin{cases} 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}), & n_1 \geq a \\ 2^{n_1}, & n_1 < a. \end{cases}$$

If $a > n_1 \geq n_2 - \beta \geq d - \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_a \setminus I_{a+1}) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{n_1 < a \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} 2^{n_1} 2^d \\ & \quad \times \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(v \dot{+} 2^{-d-1}) \, du \, dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^a} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} (\tau - d + \beta) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $n_1 \geq a$, $n_2 \geq d$ can be supposed. We have two cases:

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_\tau \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_b \setminus I_{b+1} \quad \text{for some } b \in \{a+1, a+2, \dots, \tau-1\}. \tag{8.2}$$

Discuss (8.1)

$F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) \leq c 2^a (\tau - a)$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \int_{I_\tau(2^{-a-1}) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2, \tau}^2(v) \, du \, dv \\ & \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a (\tau - a)}{2^\tau} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \\ & \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a (\tau - a)}{2^\tau} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Discuss case (8.2).

If $n_1 > b$, then for $l \geq n_1 > b$ we have $D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}) = 0$. Consequently, $n_1 \leq b$ can be supposed. That is, $b \geq n_1 \geq a$ and $n_2 \geq d$. Since $n_1 \geq n_2 - \beta \geq d - \beta$ we have two subcases:

$$b > a \geq d - \beta \quad (8.2.1)$$

and

$$b \geq d - \beta > a. \quad (8.2.2)$$

See case (8.2.1).

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) &= 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}) = 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^b 2^{-l} 2^l \\ &= 2^a(b - n_1 + 1) \leq 2^a(b - a + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2, \tau}^2(v) \, du \, dv \\ &\leq \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a(b-a+1)}{2^b} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \\ &\leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a(b-a)}{2^b} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\ &\leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta, 0)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\ &\leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} (\tau - d + \beta) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

See case (8.2.2).

We have $b \geq n_1 \geq d - \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) &= 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}) = 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^b 2^{-l} 2^l \\ &= 2^a(b - n_1 + 1) \leq 2^a(b - d + \beta + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^{2, \tau}(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\
& \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a(b-d+\beta+1) 2^d}{2^b} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\
& \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} (\tau - a) \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

We have discussed all the cases, and the proof of Lemma 8 is complete. \blacksquare

LEMMA 9. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \leq c$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$).

Proof. The first step in the proof is the same as in the proof of Lemma 8.

Let $v \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $v \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. Then for $F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v)$ we have the same formula as in (8.0). Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. If $n_1 < a$, then for $l \leq n_1 < a$ $F_l^1(u) = 2^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^l 2^j D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 2^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^l 2^j D_{2^l}(2^{-j-1}) = 2^l$, thus $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^{n_1}$. In this case the situation is the same as it was in the proof of Lemma 8.

That is, $n_1 \geq a$ can be supposed.

We have two cases:

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_\tau \quad (9.1)$$

and

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_b \setminus I_{b+1} \quad \text{for some } b \in \{a+1, a+2, \dots, \tau-1\}. \quad (9.2)$$

Investigate (9.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{n_1}^4(u) &= \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} (n_1 + 1 - l) 2^{l-n_1} F_l^1(u) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=a}^{n_1} (n_1 + 1 - l) 2^{l-n_1} 2^a 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}) \\
&\leq c((n_1 + 2 - a) 2^{2a-n_1} + 2^a) \leq c 2^a,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \int_{I_\tau(2^{-a-1}) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\
& \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a 2^d}{2^\tau 2^\tau} \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

In case (9.2) we have three subcases:

$$n_1 < b, \quad d - \beta < a \leq n_1, \quad (9.2.1)$$

$$n_1 < b_1, \quad a \leq d - \beta \leq n_1, \quad (9.2.2)$$

$$a, d - \beta, b \leq n_1. \quad (9.2.3)$$

See case (9.2.1). As in case (9.1) we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c2^a$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta+1, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta+1, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^b} 2^d \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \|D_{2^l}\|_1 \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta+1, 0)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^b} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(d-\beta+1, 0)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} (\tau - d + \beta) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Discuss case (9.2.2). Like in case (9.2.1), we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c2^a$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^b} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} (\tau - a) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

The rest is to investigate case (9.2.3). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_1}^4(u) &= \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} (n_1 + 1 - l) 2^{l-n_1} F_l^1(u) \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=a}^b (n_1 + 1 - l) 2^{l-n_1} 2^a 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}) \\ & \leq c(n_1 + 2 - a) 2^{2a-n_1} + 2^a \sum_{l=a}^b (n_1 + 1 - l) 2^{l-n_1} \\ & \leq c2^a(n_1 + 1 - b) 2^{b-n_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.2.3.0)$$

Since $n_1 \geq d - \beta$ (otherwise, $F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) = 0$), we have three subcases:

$$a < b \leq d - \beta \leq n_1, \quad (9.2.3.1)$$

$$a \leq d - \beta < b \leq n_1, \quad (9.2.3.2)$$

$$d - \beta < a < b \leq n_1. \quad (9.2.3.3)$$

Investigate (9.2.3.1).

$$F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^a (d - b - \beta + 1) 2^{b-d+\beta},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=b+\beta}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=b+\beta}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a (d - b - \beta + 1) 2^{b-d+\beta} 2^d}{2^b} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} (\tau - b)^2 \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} (\tau - a)^3 \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Discuss (9.2.3.2). Then (see (9.2.3.0)) we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^a$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^2(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=d-\beta+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a 2^d}{2^b 2^\tau} \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=a+\beta}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} (\tau - a) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, see case (9.2.3.3). As in case (9.2.3.2) we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^a$ (see (9.2.3.0)).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a 2^d}{2^b 2^\tau} \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta+1)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} \leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} (\tau - d + \beta) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

All the cases discussed, the proof of Lemma 9 is complete. \blacksquare

Set for $n < \tau$

$$F_{n,\tau}^3 := \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-n-1} 2^{-j} D_{2^{n+j}}, \quad F_n^{3,\tau} := \sum_{j=\tau-n}^{\infty} 2^{-j} D_{2^{n+j}}.$$

Then, $F_n^3 = F_{n,\tau}^3 + F_n^{3,\tau}$.

If $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$, then

$$F_n^3(u) = \begin{cases} F_{n,\tau}^3(u), & n < \tau, \\ 0, & n \geq \tau. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

LEMMA 10. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^3(u) F_{n_2}^{2,\tau}(v) du dv \leq c$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$).

Proof. Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. This gives

$$F_{n_1}^3(u) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{a-n_1} 2^{n_1} = (a - n_1 + 1) 2^{n_1} \leq c 2^a, & n_1 \leq a, \\ 0, & n_1 > a. \end{cases}$$

Let $v \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $v \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1\}$. This implies that for $F_{n_2}^{2,\tau}(v)$ we have the same formula as in (8.0). That is, $a \geq n_1 \geq n_2 - \beta \geq d - \beta$ can be supposed.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^3(u) F_{n_2}^{2,\tau}(v) du dv &\leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{a=\max(0, d-\beta)}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a 2^d}{2^a 2^\tau} \\ &\leq c \sum_{d=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^d}{2^\tau} (\tau - d + \beta) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10. ■

Corollary 7 and Lemmas 8, 9, and 10 give

COROLLARY 11. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau)^2} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^i(u) F_{n_2}^{2,\tau}(v) du dv \leq c$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$).

Next, we prove the boundedness of integral $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^i(u) F_{n_2}^j(v) du dv$ for some i and j .

LEMMA 12. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^i(u) F_{n_2}^j(v) du dv \leq c$, where $i = 2, 3$ and $j = 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. Let $v \in I_\tau$, $v \neq 0$. Then $v \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \geq \tau$. As in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 10 we have $F_{n_2}^3(v) = (d - n_2 + 1) 2^{n_2}$.

On the other hand, $F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} 2^j \sum_{l=\tau}^{\infty} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(v \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 0$ ($j \leq n_2 < \tau$), $F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} 2^j \sum_{l=n_2}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(v \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 2^{n_2} 2^{-n_2} D_{2^{n_2}}(2^{-n_2-1}) = 2^{n_2}$, and for $l \leq n_2 < \tau$ we have $F_l^1(v) = 2^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^l 2^j D_{2^j}(v \dot{+} 2^{-j-1}) = 2^{-l} \sum_{j=0}^l 2^j D_{2^j}(2^{-j-1}) = 2^l$. Thus, $F_{n_2}^4(v) \leq c 2^{n_2}$. This implies that $j=3$ can be supposed.

Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau-1\}$.

Set $i=3$. Then

$$F_{n_1}^3(u) = \begin{cases} 2^{n_1}(a - n_1 + 1), & n_1 \leq a \\ 0, & n_1 > a. \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^3(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ & \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{n_1 \leq a, n_2 < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \frac{2^{n_1}(a - n_1 + 1) 2^{n_2}(d - n_2 + 1)}{2^a 2^d} \\ & \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^a 2^{a+\beta}(d-a)}{2^{a+d}} \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} (d - \tau) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Next, set $i=2$. By Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^{2, \tau}(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ & \leq c \int_{I_\tau} \sup_{n_2 < \tau} F_{n_2}^2(v) dv \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^d} \sup_{n_2 < \tau} 2^{n_2}(d - n_2 + 1) \\ & \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} (d - \tau + 1) \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

At last we prove the boundedness of $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv$.

Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau-1\}$.

$$F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) = \begin{cases} 2^a \sum_{l=n_1}^{\tau-1} 2^{-l} D_{2^l}(u \dot{+} 2^{-a-1}), & n_1 \geq a \\ 2^{n_1}, & n_1 < a. \end{cases}$$

If $n_1 < a$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \int_{(I_a \setminus I_{a+1}) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{n_1 < a \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ & \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{n_1 < a \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \frac{2^{n_1}(d - n_2 + 1) 2^{n_2}}{2^a 2^d} \\ & \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^a 2^{a+\beta}(d - a + 1 + \beta)}{2^a 2^d} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $n_1 \geq a$ can be supposed.

We have two cases:

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_\tau \quad (12.1)$$

and

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_b \setminus I_{b+1} \quad \text{for some } b \in \{a+1, a+2, \dots, \tau-1\}. \quad (12.2)$$

In case (12.1), $F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) \leq 2^a(\tau - a)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \int_{I_\tau(2^{-a-1}) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ & \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^a(\tau - a)}{2^\tau} (d - \tau) \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

In case (12.2), $F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u)$ can be different from zero only when $a \leq n_1 \leq b$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\forall \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ & \leq \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} \sup_{\substack{n_1, n_2 < \tau, \\ a \leq n_1 \leq b}} 2^a(b - n_1 + 1) 2^{n_2}(d - n_2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} 2^{a+b+\beta}(d-b+1) \\ &\leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^d} (d-a)^2 \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} (d-\tau)^2 \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 12 is complete. ■

LEMMA 13. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} < \tau, \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^j(v) du dv \leq c$, where $j=2, 3, 4$.

Proof. In the same way as in Lemma 12, $j=3$ can be supposed.

Let $v \in I_\tau$, $v \neq 0$. Then $v \in I_d \setminus I_{d+1}$ for some $d \geq \tau$. As in the proof of Lemma 12 we have $F_{n_2}^3(v) = (d - n_2 + 1) 2^{n_2}$.

Let $u \in I \setminus I_\tau$. Then $u \in I_a \setminus I_{a+1}$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, \tau-1\}$. Then for $n_1 < a$ we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^{n_1}$ (see the beginning of the proof of Lemma 9). This case can be treated in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 12 (for $F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u)$). Consequently, $n_1 \geq a$ can be supposed.

We have cases

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_\tau \tag{13.1}$$

and

$$u - 2^{-a-1} \in I_b \setminus I_{b+1} \quad \text{for some } b \in \{a+1, a+2, \dots, \tau-1\}. \tag{13.2}$$

Investigate (13.1). Then we already have (in the proof of Lemma 9, investigation of (9.1)) $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^a$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \int_{I_\tau(2^{-a-1}) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\ &\leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^a(d-\tau+1) 2^\tau}{2^\tau 2^d} \leq c \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^\tau} \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Discuss case (13.2). We have to deal with the following subcases:

$$a \leq n_1 < b, \quad \text{then } n_2 \leq n_1 + \beta < b + \beta, \tag{13.2.1}$$

$$a < b \leq n_1. \tag{13.2.2}$$

First, see (13.2.1). Then, we have (by the formula for $F_{n_1}^4$ in the proof of Lemma 9) $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^a$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} 2^a 2^{n_2} (d - n_2 + 1) \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} 2^a 2^{b+\beta} (d - b + 1) \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^d} (d - a)^2 \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} (d - \tau)^2 \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

At last, see (13.2.2). By (9.2.3.0) we have $F_{n_1}^4(u) \leq c 2^{a 2^{b-n_1}} (n_1 - b + 1)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \int_{(I_b(2^{-a-1}) \setminus I_{b+1}(2^{-a-1})) \times (I_d \setminus I_{d+1})} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^4(u) F_{n_2}^3(v) du dv \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} \sup_{\substack{n_1, n_2 < \tau, b \leq n_1 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} 2^a 2^{b-n_1} 2^{n_2} \\
& \quad \times (d - n_2 + 1)(n_1 - b + 1) \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{2^{b+d}} 2^{a+b} (d - b + c)^2 \\
& \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{2^a}{2^d} (d - a + c)^3 \leq c \sum_{d=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{2^\tau}{2^d} (d - \tau + c)^3 \leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 13. \blacksquare

Lemmas 12 and 13 give

COROLLARY 14. $\int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau, |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta} F_{n_1}^i(u) F_{n_2}^j(v) du dv \leq c$, where $i, j = 2, 3, 4$.

Lemma 5 and Corollaries 11 and 14 are used to prove the following lemma which is the very base of the proof of Theorem 1.

Define the maximal operator

$$\begin{aligned} Gf(u, v) &:= \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}^2 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f(u, v) \\ &:= \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}^2 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \left| \int_{I^2} f(x, y) G_{n_1}(u \dot{+} x) G_{n_2}(v \dot{+} y) dx dy \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in L^1(I^2)$, $\text{supp } f \subseteq I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2) =: J_1 \times J_2$, $\int_{J_1 \times J_2} f = 0$ for some $\tau \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2) \in I^2$. Then

LEMMA 15. $\int_{I^2 \setminus (J_1 \times J_2)} Gf \leq c \|f\|_1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I^2 \setminus (J_1 \times J_2)} Gf &\leq \int_{I^2 \setminus (J_1 \times J_2)} \sup_{\substack{\wedge \mathbf{n} \geq \tau - \beta \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f \\ &\quad + \int_{I^2 \setminus (J_1 \times J_2)} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f =: A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5 and the theorem of Fubini we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} |f(x, y)| \int_{I^2 \setminus (I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\wedge \mathbf{n} \geq \tau - \beta \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} |G_{n_1}(u \dot{+} x) G_{n_2}(v \dot{+} y)| du dv dx dy \\ &= \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} |f(x, y)| \int_{I^2 \setminus (I_\tau \times I_\tau)} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\substack{\wedge \mathbf{n} \geq \tau - \beta \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} |G_{n_1}(u) G_{n_2}(v)| du dv dx dy \leq c \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\leq \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f + \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times I_\tau(x^2)} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f \\ &\quad + \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} G_{n_1, n_2} f =: A_{2,1} + A_{2,2} + A_{2,3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|G_n| = G_n = \sum_{i=2}^4 F_n^i$, then the theorem of Fubini and Corollary 14 give

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,2} &\leq \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} |f(x, y)| \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} |G_{n_1}(u) G_{n_2}(v)| du dv dx dy \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=2}^4 \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} |f(x, y)| \\ &\quad \times \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau) \times I_\tau} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} F_{n_1}^i(u) F_{n_2}^j(v) du dv dx dy \leq c \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we have $A_{2,3} \leq c \|f\|_1$.

The rest is a discussion of $A_{2,1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,1} &\leq \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau(x^2)) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \left| \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} f(x, y) \right. \\ &\quad \times (F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u \dot{+} x) + F_{n_1}^3(u \dot{+} x) + F_{n_1}^4(u \dot{+} x)) \\ &\quad \times (F_{n_2, \tau}^2(v \dot{+} y) + F_{n_2}^3(v \dot{+} y) + F_{n_2}^4(v \dot{+} y)) dx dy \Big| du dv \\ &\quad + \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \left| \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} f(x, y) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(F_{n_1, \tau}^{2, \tau}(u \dot{+} x) \sum_{j=2,3,4} F_{n_2}^j(v \dot{+} y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{i=2,3,4} F_{n_1}^i(u \dot{+} x) F_{n_2}^{2, \tau}(v \dot{+} y) \right) dx dy \Big| du dv =: A_{2,1,1} + A_{2,1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $(x, y) \in (I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times I_\tau(x^2)$, $(u, v) \in (I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))$ then $(u \dot{+} x, v \dot{+} y) \in (I \setminus I_\tau) \times (I \setminus I_\tau)$. Thus by (10) we have on this set $F_{n_1}^3 = F_{n_1, \tau}^3$. It follows that $F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u \dot{+} x)$, $F_{n_1}^3(u \dot{+} x)$, $F_{n_1}^4(u \dot{+} x)$, $F_{n_2, \tau}^2(v \dot{+} y)$, $F_{n_2}^3(v \dot{+} y)$, and $F_{n_2}^4(v \dot{+} y)$ are constants as (x, y) ranges over the set $I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,1,1} &= \int_{(I \setminus I_\tau(x^1)) \times (I \setminus I_\tau(x^2))} \sup_{\substack{\vee \mathbf{n} < \tau \\ |n_2 - n_1| \leq \beta}} \left| (F_{n_1, \tau}^2(u \dot{+} x^1) + F_{n_1}^3(u \dot{+} x^1) + F_{n_1}^4(u \dot{+} x^1)) \right. \\ &\quad \times (F_{n_2, \tau}^2(v \dot{+} x^2) + F_{n_2}^3(v \dot{+} x^2) + F_{n_2}^4(v \dot{+} x^2)) \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2)} f(x, y) dx dy \right| du dv = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Corollary 11 and the theorem of Fubini give $A_{2,1,2} \leq c \|f\|_1$. The proof of Lemma 15 is complete. ■

After all we turn our attention to Theorem 1. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(u, v) &:= \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}^2 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} T_{n_1, n_2} f(u, v) \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}^2 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} \left| \int_{I^2} f(x, y) d_{n_1} W(u \dot{+} x) d_{n_2} W(v \dot{+} y) dx dy \right| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}^2 \\ |n_1 - n_2| \leq \beta}} |d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}f)(u, v)|. \end{aligned}$$

By the help of Lemma 15 we prove that (operator G and then) operator T is of weak type $(1, 1)$. This means that for all $f \in L^1(I^2)$, $\lambda > 0$ the inequality $|Tf > \lambda| \leq c \|f\|_1 / \lambda$ holds. Since the two-dimensional Walsh polynomials are dense in $L^1(I^2)$ and since for a dyadic polynomial $d_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}P) \rightarrow P$ (as $\min(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$) where $\hat{P}(n_1, n_2) = 0$ for $n_1 n_2 = 0$ [8], then this implies Theorem 1 by standard argument [7, 10].

In order to this we need a decomposition lemma of type Calderon and Zygmund.

LEMMA 16 [5, 10]. *Let $f \in L^1(I^2)$, $\lambda > \|f\|_1$. Then $f = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$, where $\|f_0\|_{\infty} < 4\lambda$, $\text{supp } f_n \subseteq I_{k_n}(x_{(n)}^1) \times I_{k_n}(x_{(n)}^2) =: J_n^1 \times J_n^2$ ($x_{(n)}^1, x_{(n)}^2 \in I$, $k_n \in \mathbf{N}$), $\int_{J_n^1 \times J_n^2} f_n = 0$, $\|f_n\|_1 \leq 8\lambda |J_n^1 \times J_n^2|$ ($n \in \mathbf{P}$). The sets $J_n^1 \times J_n^2$ are disjoint, furthermore $|\Omega| = |\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{P}} J_n^1 \times J_n^2| \leq \|f\|_1 / \lambda$.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $f \in L^1(I^2)$, $\lambda > 0$, apply Lemma 16 and the σ -sublinearity of operator G . Apply also that $\|G_{n_1} \times G_{n_2}\|_1 \leq c$ ($(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbf{P}^2$) (this follows from Lemma 4) implies ([9]) that the operator G is of type (∞, ∞) , that is, $\|Gf\|_{\infty} \leq c \|f\|_{\infty}$ for all $f \in L^{\infty}(I^2)$. Consequently, $|\{Gf_0 > c\lambda\}| = 0$ if $c\lambda > \|f_0\|_{\infty}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in I^2 : Gf > 2c\lambda\}| &\leq |\{Gf_0 > c\lambda\}| + |\Omega| + \frac{1}{c\lambda} \int_{I^2 \setminus \Omega} G \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \right) \\ &\leq c \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{c\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{I^2 \setminus (J_i^1 \times J_i^2)} Gf_i \\ &= c \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{c\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B^j. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15 gives

$$B^j \leq c \|f_j\|_1 \quad (j \in \mathbf{P}).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B^j \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_1 \leq c \|f\|_1.$$

That is, operator G is of weak type (1,1). And since

$$|d_n W| \leq 12G_n,$$

then we have

$$|\{x \in I^2 : Tf > \lambda\}| \leq |\{x \in I^2 : cG(|f|) > \lambda\}| \leq c \| |f| \|_1 = c \|f\|_1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

2. AN APPLICATION OF TYPE (H, L^1)

Let function a be an H° atom with the corresponding square $I_\tau(x^1) \times I_\tau(x^2) =: J_1 \times J_2 \subset I^2$ ($\tau \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2) \in I^2$). Lemma 15 gives that $\int_{I^2 \setminus (J_1 \times J_2)} Ta \leq c \|a\|_1 \leq c$. That is, operator G is H° -quasi-linear (for the definition of quasi-linearity see [9, 12]). Since the operator $\sup_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}} |f * (G_{n_1} \times G_{n_2})|$ is bounded from $L^p(I^2)$ to $L^p(I^2)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ (see [8]), then so does the operator $Gf = \sup_{|n_1 - n_2| < \beta, n \in \mathbf{N}^2} |f * (G_{n_1} \times G_{n_2})|$. Since G is sublinear and H° is quasi-linear then by the theorem of Weisz [11, Theorem 1] we have

THEOREM 17. *Operator G is of type (H°, L^1) , i.e.*

$$\|Gf\|_1 \leq c \|f\|_{H^\circ} \quad (f \in H).$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{H^\circ} \leq \|\cdot\|_H$, then this follows that $H \subset H^\circ$. Consequently, we also have

COROLLARY 18. *Operator G is of type (H, L^1) .*

Since $Tf \geq cG(|f|)$ then we have

COROLLARY 19. *For operator T we have*

$$\|Tf\|_1 \leq c \| |f| \|_{H^\circ} \quad (|f| \in H^\circ).$$

Finally, the author thanks the referees for their work.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Butzer and W. Engels, Dyadic calculus and sampling theorems for functions with multidimensional domain, *Inform. and Control* **52** (1982), 330–351.
2. P. L. Butzer and H. J. Wagner, Walsh series and the concept of a derivative, *Appl. Anal.* **3** (1973), 29–46.
3. P. L. Butzer and H. J. Wagner, On dyadic analysis based on the pointwise dyadic derivative, *Anal. Math.* **1** (1975), 171–196.
4. S. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 569–645.
5. A. P. Calderon and A. Zygmund, On the existence of certain singular integrals, *Acta Math.* **88** (1952), 85–139.
6. N. J. Fine, On the Walsh functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1949), 372–414.
7. F. Schipp, Über einen Ableitungsbegriff von P. L. Butzer und H. J. Wagner, *Mat. Balkanica* **4** (1974), 541–546.
8. F. Schipp and W. R. Wade, A fundamental theorem of dyadic calculus for the unit square, *Appl. Anal.* **34** (1989), 203–218.
9. F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon, and J. Pál, “Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis,” Adam Hilger, Bristol/New York, 1990.
10. R. S. Stankovic, Gibbs derivatives, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **15**, Nos. 1 & 2 (1994), 169–179.
11. F. Weisz, The boundedness of the two-parameter Sunouchi operators on Hardy spaces, *Acta Math. Hung.*, to appear.
12. F. Weisz, Some maximal inequalities with respect to two-parameter dyadic derivative and Cesàro summability, to appear.
13. F. Weisz, (H_p, L_p) -type inequalities for the two-dimensional dyadic derivative, *Studia Math.* **120** (1996), 271–288.
14. F. Weisz, Martingale Hardy spaces and the dyadic derivative, *Analysis Math.*, to appear.
15. F. Weisz, The two-parameter dyadic derivative and the dyadic Hardy spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, to appear.
16. W. Su, Gibbs–Butzer derivatives and their applications, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **16**, Nos. 5 & 6 (1995), 805–824.